UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,126	06/16/2005	Thomas Hasskerl	272598US0PCT	8970
22850 7590 10/09/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			HARLAN, ROBERT D	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1796		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
1.	Office Action Summan	10/539,126	HASSKERL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert D. Harlan	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		is action is non-final.				
3)						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20,22 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	3. ⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
	e of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

7

Application/Control Number: 10/539,126

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-20 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brehm et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,700,576 (hereinafter "Brehm"). Brehm teaches a coating

Art Unit: 1713

composition comprising a prepolymer comprising an alkyl (meth acrylate) and sulfur compound containing at least three thiol groups, multifunctional acrylates, thinner (diluent), UV-initiator and customary additives. See Brehm, Abstract; col. 3, lines 23-60. Brehm also teaches the use of TPMA, 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoropropyl methacrylate. See Brehm, Table 1. The present invention differs from Brehm in that the present invention requires 0.2 to 10 wt.% 3,3,4,4-tetrafluorobutyl methacrylate.

4. The basic requirements of prima facie case of obvious are:

(1) there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the reference themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings; (2) there must be a reasonable expectation of success; (3) the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. See MPEP 2143. "There are three possible sources for a motivation to combine references: the nature of the problem to be solved, the teachings of the prior art, and the knowledge of persons of ordinary skill in the art." See In re Rouffet, 149 F.3d 1350, 1357, 47 USPQ2d 1453, 1457-58 (Fed. Cir. 1998). Although Brehm discloses a homologue of the claimed

fluoroalkyl (meth)acrylate, based on the specification as a whole a polymer chemist of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify Brehm by making a one carbon extension on the side chain of the fluoroalkyl (meth)acrylate. Such modification would be obvious because one would have a reasonable expectation of success that 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoropropyl methacrylate taught by Brehm would be similarly useful and applicable the fluorobutyl (meth)acrylate. Therefore, claims 1-20 and 22-23 are deem as being unpatentable over Brehm.

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval

Application/Control Number: 10/539,126

Art Unit: 1713

Page 5

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

rdh